

DOCUMENT NO. 6
DATE 3-30-07
FILE NO. HJ 31

aubyna

From: "Glenn Garrison" <screwy@kvis.net>
To: "Aubyn Curtiss" <aubyna@interbel.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2007 6:40 AM
Subject: HJ 31

I do not believe this bill goes far enough to protect private property, it should include language protecting the private owner right to unlimited access their own property.

I do support this bill 100% it is a step in the right direction.

Glenn Garrison
Box 493
Troy Montana, 59935
(406) 295-4501

3/29/2007

aubyna

From: "charles hedrick" <charleyhed@sofast.net>
To: "charles hedrick" <charleyhed@sofast.net>
Cc: "sen greg lind" <sd50@montana.com>; "sen aubyn curtiss" <aubyna@interbel.net>; "sen kelly gebhardt" <gebby@midrivers.com>; "sen dan harrington" <danwharrington@intch.com>; "sen christine kaufmann" <kaufmann@mt.net>; "sen gary perry" <perrysd35@aol.com>; "sen bill tash" <billtash@msn.com>; "sen mitch tropila" <tropila@mt.net>; "sen david wanzenreid" <daveew@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2007 8:28 PM
Subject: HJR 31

Mar 28, 2007

I am asking for yuor support on PASSING HJR 31. I am against locking people out of any more public lands in Montana and other states. This is our chance to say enough is enough.
The U.S. already has over 6 million acres of wilderness. Locking up more land is detrimental to Montana's economy.

Thank You.

Charles Hedrick
22 Cick Road
Great Falls Mt. 59404
406-453-2500
charleyhed@sofast.net

3/29/2007

aubyna

From: "Rhoda" <hrcargill@frontiernet.net>
To: "Aubyn Curtiss" <aubyna@interbel.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2007 6:41 PM
Subject: support of HJ 31 in email

Written testimony in support of

HJ 31

Rhoda Cargill
 14776 Bull Lake Rd
 Troy, MT 59935
 406-295-4052

My name is Rhoda Cargill and I am submitting this paper in support of HJ 31. My background is biology. I represent, myself, family, the Concerned Citizens for Lincoln County (CCLC) and GRASSP, the GrassRoots Alliance for Sensible Science Policy.

Our Constitutional rights are being taken away from us; in particular the right to private property. This is not news. What may be news is who is doing it and why. This is where reality becomes stranger than fiction. This 'take' of our rights is a subject that most people have some information on; few have faced the grim knowledge of the 'whole picture'. This is by design. One piece of a jig saw puzzle, by itself, is of little value. It is only when some of the pieces start to be placed together, that a picture emerges.

Environmental groups are not about saving species, rather, they are about power, control and greed. "Government control of private land to 'protect' species of weeds and bugs is a feel-good mask that hides the sinister effect of transforming America into a socialist nation," author Joseph Farah explains. Surely "abolish private property" and "control the means of production" must ring a bell. By not being *outraged* over government's rampant acquisition and control of private land, we are unwitting accomplices to the perpetrators.

If a lie is big enough and repeated often enough, it will gather unto itself a group of believers. Those who believe and respond to such a lie are either simply misguided or being manipulated. Those who believe the lie despite scientific evidence to the contrary, are fanatics. Those who know the truth and preach the lie to achieve their own private agenda are manipulators of the first order, operating without the benefit of *morality*.

Science cares not what the truth is, only that the truth be discovered. Advocacy-science, as applied by Environmental Groups, has a different objective: discover and publish only the evidence that supports the hypothesis; they are amoral. Fear drives public policy and public action, and environmental organizations are masters at using fear to do both. Greenpeace co-founder, Paul Watson, told "Forbes" magazine a few years ago, that "it doesn't matter what's true; what matters is what people believe is true".

The Green Machine is an artist at keeping individuals busy putting out spot fires, while they creep on to their next objective. They are well organized, and extremely well funded by private donations, foundations and by our own Government. Yes, our tax dollars are spent against us. These non-governmental organizations (NGO's) have worked themselves into appointed positions of command in Governmental Departments. The fox is guarding the chicken coop. Their march to authority is proof that reality is stranger than fiction. When I mention the 'Green Machine' I do not refer to the duped,

3/30/2007

good hearted individuals that belong to the groups. I am referring to the leaders of these groups that manipulate good intention and turn it into their private agenda; that is criminal.

At this point, you might be thinking of Green peace or Earth First! These are minor actors. The Nature Conservancy, the National Audubon society, the Wilderness Society. These groups, through the Clinton Administration, had their top people appointed to head critical Governmental Agencies: Bruce Babbitt, Secretary of the Department of Interior: George Frampton, Assistant Secretary of Interior for Fish and Wildlife: Brooks Yeager, Director of Policy Analysis for the Department of Interior and coordinating DOI's Ecosystem Management Task Force: Thomas Lovejoy, Scientific Advisor at the Department of Interior. I hope that a picture is starting to form.

In the beginning, God created the earth and it was good. God created man, and according to the mind-set of the green machine, that was not just bad, that was *evil*. "Human happiness, and certainly human fecundity, are not as important as a wild and healthy planet. Somewhere along the line -- about a billion years ago -- we quit the contract and became a cancer. We have become a plague upon ourselves and upon the Earth. Until such time as Homo sapiens should decide to rejoin nature, some of us can only hope for the right virus to come along." So says David Graber, a research biologist with the National Park Service. Understand, this is not an isolated viewpoint, it is the predominant ideology. Fish eggs have more rights then Human eggs.

Funding for these groups comes from private donations and Foundations; the Pew Foundation, the Ford Foundation, the Turner Foundation, the Bullitt Foundation to name a few. The World Bank is a key sponsor. A New York Times article estimated that \$120,000,000,000 (*Billion*) was spent on conservation in 2001. That is one third of the military budget for 2003. "It's difficult to track the money and impossible to know if projects have succeeded and we never admit when we are wrong". It surprised me to discover that as tax payers, we are actually paying some of these groups to sue the Government. It is interesting to note that many of these foundations own most of the news media that people depend upon to make 'informed' judgments. Ted turner created and owned CNN. He became a multi-billionaire. Ted Turner bought the Ladder Ranch in New Mexico. He invited U.S. Fish and Wildlife to his acreage to re-introduce wolf populations. He invites them in to poison the river that flows through his ranch to eradicate 'invasive, non-native species'. Ted Turner sold the Ladder Ranch to the Nature Conservancy. He owns many thousands of acres in Montana and Florida, to which, he is applying the same tactics.

These groups own critical positions in the Federal government, they have a never ending source of revenue, they own the media, they then approach Congressman to implement their agenda into Law. Consider: NGO biologist's push legislation and Judges are making scientific discoveries every day. Can it get more convoluted then that? Yes; their taking of control over all natural resources through hand picked Legislation. In researching, I came across on odd point. The congressmen submitting Legislation that will 'take' the West are all from the East coast: curious. Laughable? Read on...

"Our vision is simple:" says Dave Foreman, convicted eco-terrorist, "we live for the day when Grizzlies in Chihuahua have an unbroken connection to Grizzlies in Alaska; when Gray Wolf populations are continuous from New Mexico to Greenland; when vast unbroken forests and flowing plains again thrive and support *pre-Columbian* populations of plants and animals...."

How do they plan to do this? When Earth First! Co-founder, Dave Foreman, first voiced his vision in 1990, of wolves and grizzly bears roaming, unmolested by humans, through unbroken wilderness from Mexico to Canada -- many people laughed. When Dr. Reed Noss, contracted by the Nature Conservancy and the National Audubon Society, first published his Wildlands Project in 1992, calling for the conversions of "at least half" of the United States to "core wilderness areas," surrounded by buffer zones

in which human activity was to be *severely* limited -- few people even noticed.

The Wildlands Project is no longer a laughing matter. Already, nine bills have been introduced to expand wilderness in America. Nearly two dozen bills have been introduced which authorize the government's purchase of additional private property. The concept of "multiple use" of so-called "public land" is being replaced with the reality of "no use" of public land. Logging, grazing, mining - use of America's resources -- is actively being snuffed out for the benefit of a turtle, or a frog, or a weed, by NGO influence and power.

Still laughable? Who would have guessed in 1973 that the application of the Endangered Species Act would lead to:

- taking property without compensation;
- the listing of species, subspecies, populations, subpopulations, distinct population segments, and (my favorite) Evolutionary Significant Unit's (ESU's), to interpret, you and I are ESU's;
- the elimination of logging, ranching and farming over wide areas;
- the forced reintroduction of wolves and grizzly bears for which the "reintroducers" are not responsible for carnage;
- the ultimate road block for needed public works projects to "save" flies and toads;
- the devastation, misery and deaths caused by hurricane Katrina, in New Orleans, can be *directly* traced to a NGO lawsuit to 'save the wetlands' that stopped the Army Corps of Engineers from making needed corrective remedies;
- the death of citizens due to flooding caused by bushes growing on a dam and "needed" for an insect;
- the elimination of big game herds for wolf food or the elimination of thousands of miles of stream sport fisheries for an undesirable trout;
- the perversion of biological classification so that academic specialists can obtain grants and career enhancements;
- creation of the largest budget and power increase for Federal bureaucrats to date;
- the litmus test of environmental *bona fides* for politicians seeking reelection;
- the greatest power source ever devised for NGO's to stop everything from energy development to hunting and wildlife management, to the true ownership of private property. I could go on, but space is limited.

"In the past 30 years '12 of 1304' species have been recovered. Given three decades, this is .01 percent rate of success." The report goes on to say, "... numerous qualified studies assert that none of the species listed by the USFWS to have been 'recovered' in the United States may reasonably be claimed to have recovered as a result of the ESA." Given the current rate of success by the ESA, all species, currently on the list, will be recovered by the year 7029.

The Wildness Project may sound "wild" to most Americans, but it is a plan that is being implemented

throughout the country by hundreds of organizations. And Congress appears only too willing to oblige.

Conservation and Reinvestment Act (HR701), CARRA To use royalties from Outer Continental Shelf oil and gas production to establish a fund to meet the outdoor conservation and recreation needs of the American people, and for other purposes. Section 13.6.3 (p. 1039f) prescribes precisely what this bill would accomplish. This section, titled "National Legislation," calls for the government purchase of lands needed to complete large wilderness blocks, or buffer zones around core areas. It creates a \$3-billion slush fund, available to state and local governments and NGOs, as well as to federal agencies, expressly for the acquisition of private property.

Act to Save America's Forests, (HR 5279), To amend the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974 and related laws to strengthen the protection of native biodiversity and ban clear cutting on Federal lands, to designate certain Federal lands as Ancient Forests, Roadless Areas, Watershed Protection Areas, and Special Areas where logging and other intrusive activities are prohibited, and for other purposes.

National Forest Protection and Restoration Act of 2001, (HR 1494), To save taxpayers money, reduce the deficit, cut corporate welfare, protect communities from wildfires, and protect and restore America's natural heritage by eliminating the fiscally wasteful and ecologically destructive commercial logging program on Federal public lands, restoring native biodiversity in our Federal public forests, and facilitating the economic recovery and diversification of communities affected by the Federal logging program.

CARE Act of 2003, (S256), section 106 and 107 give the Nature conservancy a 25% tax break to buy our land.

National Aquatic Invasive Species Act of 2003, (HR 1080), this bill has the possibility to make the ESA look like a puppy. Imagine what organisms "non-native species" could be applied to, i.e., dogs, cats, domestic chickens, me and you.

And, finally, *the reason for this paper*:

The Rockies Prosperity Act, would take 26 million acres. To designate as wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, national park and preserve study areas, wild land recovery areas, and biological connecting corridors certain public lands in the States of Idaho, Montana, Oregon, Washington, and Wyoming, and for other purposes

The Hon. Justice Clifford Wallace, Chief Judge Emeritus, United States 9th Circuit Court of Appeal attended an Ad Hoc meeting of Judges from the Global community, in Nairobi. Six points were adopted by acclamation. In part, "...to develop and implement programmes of capacity building for judges and other legal stakeholders such as prosecutors, enforcement officers, lawyers, *public interest litigation groups* (*Sierra Club?*) and other relevant groups engaged in the process of the development, implementation and enforcement of environmental law in the context of sustainable development. Such capacity building programmes would significantly contribute to the more effective, implementation and enforcement and awareness of environmental law". It gives me a point to ponder,... and then it takes my breath away.

These groups own critical positions in the Federal Government, they have a never ending source of revenue, they own the media, they then fix their own Legislation, they have the Judges that will support them. We are at a cross roads, i.e., run and hide or stand and fight by making our voices heard through

YOU.

I know that I have, in the least, sketched a black and white outline of the 'whole' picture. It would take volumes of books to provide all that this subject demands. I can only hope that this outrages you as much as it does me. The Green Machine's Achilles tendon is their arrogance. They can't stop patting themselves on the back.

In the past twenty to thirty years, there has been a fundamental shift in the philosophy of scientific investigation as taught in our educational systems; an orchestrated shift. It has redirected the scientific community away from rigid scientific methodology to a "road past traveled", i.e., consensus science; where numbers of believers equal fact. The hallowed halls of academia have invented a "new" science to suit their needs called "precautionary science". Consensus and precautionary science, in combination, fit the description of "Pathological science". (*Pathological, Precautionary and Consensus Science – a Death Knell for the Scientific method?*, Jasper McKee, P.Phys., Editor, *Physics in Canada*).

"Pathological science" was first discussed in 1953 by Irving Langmuir when he examined a number of documented cases in which good scientists had apparently reported wrong results, and *continued* to believe in unsubstantial data. Consensus and precautionary science allow belief to equal fact; data to be the plural of antidote; it allows life to originate from inorganic material and the sun to revolve around the earth. Their main tenets are *alliance equals discovery*. Consensus and precautionary science are beliefs looking for facts to prop them up. Consensus is a tool of politics, not science. The late Philip Handler, former president of the National Academy of Sciences, said the "Scientists best serve public policy by living within the ethics of science, not those of politics. If the scientific community will not unfrock the charlatans, the public will not discern the difference-science and the nation will suffer."

Dr. Thomas Gold, emeritus professor at Cornell University, was a theoretical astrophysicist and one of the great celestial thinkers of the last century. The author of more than 280 scientific papers, Dr. Gold told Omni magazine: "Most men...can seldom accept even the simplest and most obvious truth if it obliges them to admit the falsity of conclusions which they have delighted in explaining to colleagues, which they have proudly taught to others, and which they have woven thread by thread into the fabric of their lives." We are headed, at warp speed, into a high-tech dark age.

I am far behind the learning curve on the hidden agenda of the Green machine. I suspect a vast majority of private property owners are. My Great Uncle was a millionaire farmer in Illinois. He wrote his thoughts about the plight of the American farmer to me in a letter, "...the family owned farm is soon to be a thing of the past, not because of failure to produce, but for our inability to join together and speak with one voice". Independently, they pick us off one at a time. WE, the people of Montana need YOU to send a message to agenda, and to the Federal Government – enough is ENOUGH!

To paraphrase Thomas Paine, "There *are* people who are not to be trusted in policy making; weak people, who *cannot* see; prejudiced people, who *will not* see; and a certain set of moderate people who think better of scientists/NGO's than they deserves; and this last class, by an ill-judged deliberation, will be the cause of more calamities to this Country than all the other three." Truth is timeless...

Free people create free markets; neither can survive when the government owns the sources of production.

One man or woman can make a difference.

Please support HJ 31.